



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/939,410

08/24/2001

Joseph A. Kwak

I-2-203US

4309

24374

7590

11/13/2003

VOLPE AND KOENIG, P.C.
DEPT. ICC
UNITED PLAZA, SUITE 1600
30 SOUTH 17TH STREET
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103

EXAMINER

TSEGAYE, SABA

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2662

DATE MAILED: 11/13/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/939,410

Applicant(s)

KWAK, JOSEPH A.

Examiner

Saba Tsegaye

Art Unit

2662

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 August 2003.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. Claims 1, 2, 4-6, 13, 14 and 16-18, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Schramm et al. (US 6,208,663).

Regarding claims 1 and 13, Schramm discloses, in Figs. 3 and 5, a method for adjusting data modulation in a wireless communication system, the method comprising:

receiving data at a transmitter for transmission to a receiver (a radio base stations 22);
formatting the received data into packets for transmission to the receiver, each packet having a particular encoding/data modulation (a radio base stations 22; column 5, lines 46-58);
transmitting the packets to the receiver (column 5, lines 25-45);
receiving the packets at the receiver (mobile stations 12);
for each received packet, generating and transmitting an acknowledgment at the physical layer using a fast feedback channel, if the received packet has an acceptable error rate (column 7, lines 39-53);
retransmitting that received packet at the transmitter, if an acknowledgment for that packet is not received (column 7, lines 39-53);
collecting retransmission statistics (column 7, lines 1-13); and
adjusting each particular encoding/data modulation using the collected retransmission statistics (column 7, lines 1-38); wherein if the collected retransmission statistics indicate a low number of retransmissions, a higher capacity encoding/data modulation scheme is selected as the particular encoding/data modulation and if the collected retransmission statistics indicate a high

Art Unit: 2662

number of retransmissions, a lower capacity encoding/data modulation scheme is selected as the particular encoding/data modulation (column 7, line 1-column 8, line 22; claim 27).

Regarding claims 2 and 14, Schramm discloses the method wherein the particular encoding/data modulation is forward error correction FEC encoding /data modulation (column 7, line 54-column 8, line 11).

Regarding claims 4 and 16, Schramm discloses the method wherein the packets are transmitted using a single carrier with frequency domain equalization air interface (column 4, lines 49-56).

Regarding claims 5 and 17, Schramm discloses the method wherein the acknowledgments are transmitted on the fast feedback channel using a CDMA air interface (column 4, lines 49-56).

Regarding claims 6 and 18, Schramm discloses the method further comprising at the receiver for each received packet transmitting a negative acknowledgment, if that packet has an unacceptable error rate (column 7, lines 39-45).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. Claims 3 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schramm in view of Agee (US 6,128,276).

Art Unit: 2662

Schramm discloses all the claim limitations as stated above except for: the packets are transmitted using an OFDMA air interface in which frequency sub channels in an OFDMA set may be selectively nulled.

Agee teaches a radio communication method that is compatible with discrete multiple tone and orthogonal frequency-division multiplex-like frequency channelization techniques (column 4, line 19-column 5, line 40).

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to add a method that transmit packets using an OFDMA air interface, such as that suggested by Agee, in the method of Schramm in order to allow stationary and linear channel distortion to be modeled as an exactly multiplicative effect on the transmit spreading code

3. Claims 7, 8, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sipola (US 6,529,561) in view of Schramm et al. (US 6,208,663).

Regarding claim 7, Sipola discloses, in Figs. 2 and 5, a physical layer automatic request repeat system comprising:

a transmitter having (260):

a physical layer transmitter for receiving data, formatting the received data into packets, each packet having a particular encoding/data modulation, transmitting the packets (column 10, lines 7-15; steps 500, 502), and retransmitting packets in response to not receiving a corresponding acknowledgment for a given packet (column 10, lines 16-28);

an ACK receiver for receiving the corresponding acknowledgment (step 510; column 7, line 60-column 8, line 3); and

Art Unit: 2662

a receiver having (264):

a physical layer receiver for demodulating the packets (column 10, lines 29-40);

a hybrid ARQ combiner/decoder for buffering, decoding and detecting packet errors (step 516; column 21-50); and

an acknowledgment transmitter for transmitting an acknowledgment for each packet, if that packet has an acceptable error rate (step 510; column 7, line 60-column 8, line 3).

However, Sipola does not expressly disclose collecting retransmission statistics and adjusting each particular encoding/data modulation using the collected retransmission statistics; if the collected retransmission statistics indicate a low number of retransmissions, a higher capacity encoding/data modulation scheme is selected as the particular encoding/data modulation and if the collected retransmission statistics indicate a high number of retransmissions, a lower capacity encoding/data modulation scheme is selected as the particular encoding/data modulation (as in claim 7); and a CDMA air interface (as in claim 11).

Schramm teaches that the radio base station RBS 22 counts the number of requests for retransmitted blocks and use alternative FEC coding and/or modulation scheme (**(low level modulation, in this case QPSK modulation)**) when the counted number of erroneously transmitted blocks exceeds some predetermined threshold (column 7, line 1-column 8, line 22; claim 27).

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made add a collecting retransmission statistics method, such as that suggested by Schramm, in the method of Sipola in order to reduce the probability that the retransmitted block is received erroneously and improve overall system performance (column 4, lines 3-11).

Art Unit: 2662

Regarding claim 11, Schramm teaches an ARQ techniques use an alternative modulation/coding scheme using FDMA and CDMA air interface.

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use CDMA, such as that suggested by Schramm, in the radio transmission system of Sipola in order to minimize interference and to increase the capacity data throughput.

Regarding claim 8, Sipola discloses the method wherein the particular encoding/data modulation is forward error correction FEC encoding /data modulation (column 2, line 29-37).

Regarding claim 12, Sipola discloses the system further comprising at the receiver transmitting a negative acknowledgment, if any packet has an unacceptable error rate (column 7, line 60-column 8, line 3).

4. Claims 19-21 and 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haartsen (US 6,021,124) in view of Schramm et al. ('663).

Regarding claim 19, Haartsen discloses, in Fig. 3, a network using a multi-channel ARQ method transmits data packets from a source 16 to a destination 18 over a communication link that is subdivided into a number of channels. Further, Haartsen, Fig. 4, discloses a MUX 22 (claimed a sequencer), a FIFO 28 (claimed n transmitters transmitting to their associated n receivers), a FIFO 29 (claimed a destination device having n receivers), and a DE-MUX 26 (claimed n hybrid ARQ decoders releasing packets which have an acceptable error rate). Further, Haartsen describes that the network halts the multiplexing of new data packets at the source

Art Unit: 2662

during a subsequent multiplexing round until the destination positively acknowledges successful reception of a data packet and retransmit the data packets if no acknowledgement is received from the destination after a predefined time-out period.

However, Haartsen does not expressly disclose that the communication system collecting retransmission statistics and adjusting a particular encoding/data modulation for each of the N transmitter using the collected retransmission statistics; if the collected retransmission statistics indicate a low number of retransmissions, a higher capacity encoding/data modulation scheme is selected as the particular encoding/data modulation and if the collected retransmission statistics indicate a high number of retransmissions, a lower capacity encoding/data modulation scheme is selected as the particular encoding/data modulation.

Schramm teaches a communication system that supports multiple modulation/coding schemes. When connection quality drops and a number of negative acknowledgement signals exceed a predetermined threshold, ARQ techniques use an alternative modulation/coding scheme. Further, Schramm teaches that if desired, the alternative FEC coding and /or modulation scheme can be implemented each time a retransmitted block is requested.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to add a system that collects retransmission statistics and adjusting a particular encoding/data modulation for each of the N transmitter using the collected retransmission statistics, such as that suggested by Schramm, in the system of Haartsen in order to use FEC coding that provides increased protection and/or lower level modulation to reduce the probability that the retransmitted block is received erroneously and improve overall system performance (column 4, lines 3-11).

Regarding claim 20, Haartsen discloses the communication system wherein the n signal transmitters each temporarily store a packet that has been transmitted in a buffer memory (column 7, lines 45-64); and

one of the n transmitters receiving an acknowledge signal from an associated hybrid decoder clearing the stored packet in readiness for receipt of another block (column 7, lines 45-64).

Regarding claim 21, Haartsen discloses the communication system wherein the n signal transmitters each temporarily store a packet that has been transmitted in a buffer memory (column 7, lines 45-64); and

one of the n transmitters failing to receive an acknowledge signal from its associated decoder retransmits the packet temporarily stored in its buffer memory (column 8, lines 1-11).

Regarding claim 29, Haartsen discloses the system wherein packets are transmitted using an orthogonal frequency division multiple access air interface in which frequency sub channels in an OFDMA set may be selectively muted (column 10, lines 40-47).

Regarding claim 30, Haartsen discloses the method wherein the packets are transmitted using a single carrier with frequency domain equalization air interface (column 10, lines 14-30).

Art Unit: 2662

Regarding claim 31, Haartsen discloses the method wherein the acknowledgments are transmitted on a fast feedback channel using a CDMA air interface (column 9, lines 18-21).

5. Claims 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haartsen in view of Schramm as applied to claim 19 above, and further in view of Yonge, III et al. (US 6,522,650).

Haartsen in view of Schramm discloses all the claim limitations as stated above except for one of the n transmitters clears its buffer memory if an acknowledge signal is not received from its associated decoder after a maximum number of retransmissions and the maximum number of retransmissions is an operator defined integer having a range from 1 to 8.

Yonge illustrates, in Figs. 23 and 24, flow diagrams of a response resolve process performed by the frame transmit process of TX handler. Further, Yonge teaches that the process 444 determines if the NACK-count is greater than the NACK-count threshold (in this example, a threshold of 4). If the NACK-count is determined to be greater than the threshold of 4, then the frame is discarded (column 26, line 60-column 27, line 41).

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to add a retransmission counter and a maximum number of retransmissions (1 to 8), such as that suggested by Yonge, in the transmitter (FIFO) of Haartsen in view of Schramm in order to avoid overflow.

6. Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sipola in view of Schramm et al. as applied to claim 7 above, and further in view of Agee.

Sipola in view of Schramm et al. discloses all the claim limitations as stated above except for: the packets are transmitted using an OFDMA air interface; and frequency domain equalization (as in claim 10).

Agee teaches a radio communication method that is compatible with discrete multiple tone and orthogonal frequency-division multiplex-like frequency channelization techniques (column 4, line 19-column 5, line 40).

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to add a method that transmit packets using an OFDMA air interface, such as that suggested by Agee, in the method of Sipola in view of Schramm in order to allow stationary and linear channel distortion to be modeled as an exactly multiplicative effect on the transmit spreading code.

7. Claims 24-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haartsen in view of Schramm as applied to claims 19-21, 29 and 31 above, and further in view of Sipola (US 6,529,561).

Haartsen in view of Schramm discloses all the claim limitations as stated above except for: receivers requiring a retransmission combines a retransmitted packet with an original transmitted packet to facilitate error correction (as in claims 24 and 26); a transmitter failing to receive an acknowledge signal from an associated decoder encodes that packet employing a different encoding technique from an encoding technique employed in an original transmission of that packet (as in claim 25); n transmitters are incorporated in a base station and the n receiver

Art Unit: 2662

are incorporated in a subscriber unit (as in claim 27); and n transmitter are incorporated in a subscriber unit and the n receivers are incorporated in a base station (as in claim 28).

Regarding claims 24 and 26, Sipola discloses a receiver 264 that comprises means 222 for combining a received coded data block punctured by the first puncturing pattern and a received coded data block punctured by the second puncturing pattern.

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to add a combiner, such as that suggested by Sipola, in the receiver of Haartsen in order to provide a sufficient dense range of effective code rates to enable the code rate required by the channel conditions to be selected relatively accurately, which saves the valuable radio resource of the system (column 4, lines 26-30).

Regarding claim 25, Sipola teaches that the channel coder increases the code rate of the coded data block to be retransmitted by puncturing the coded data block coded by the channel coding of the original transmission by using a second puncturing pattern (column 3, lines 51-65).

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made add a method that uses a different encoding technique when a transmitter failing to receive an acknowledge signal, such as that suggested by Sipola, in the encoding system of Haartsen in order to reduce the probability that the retransmitted block is received erroneously and improve overall system performance.

Regarding claims 27 and 28, Sipola shows, in Fig. 1A, a transceivers 114, an antenna unit 112 that implementing a duplex radio connection 170, and a subscriber terminal 150.

Art Unit: 2662

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to add n transceivers in the base station or/and subscriber unit, such as that suggested by Sipola, in the multi channel (radio frequency channel) ARQ method of Haartsen in order to maximize data throughput.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 8/18/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues (Remarks pages 12-13) that Schramm does not disclose increasing of the channel capacity in view of low retransmissions statistics. The Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant's contention. The Schramm reference clearly discloses that when connection quality drops and a number of negative acknowledgement signals exceed a predetermined threshold, ARQ techniques use an alternative modulation/coding scheme (**low level modulation, in this case QPSK modulation; if a number of negative acknowledgement signals does not exceed a predetermined threshold ARQ techniques use a high level modulation, in this case 16QAM**). Each erroneously received block is sufficient to trigger a selection of a new modulation scheme, the selecting entity can base the selection of a particular FEC coding/modulation scheme based upon an evaluation of the current system and/or channel characteristics. Further, Schramm teaches that if desired, the alternative FEC coding and /or modulation scheme can be implemented each time a retransmitted block is requested.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Saba Tsegaye whose telephone number is (703) 308-4754. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:30-5:00), First Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on (703) 305-4744. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

ST
November 6, 2003


HASSAN KIZOU
SUPERVISOR, EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600